

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/528,780	03/17/2000		Joerg Plamper	HBC-221-KFM	5327
7590 04/15/2004				· EXAMINER	
Karl F Milde	Jr.		RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO		
Milde Hoffberg	g & Macklin	ı LLP			<u> </u>
Suite 460			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10 Bank Street				2828	
White Plains, NY 10606				DATE MAIL ED. 04/15/200	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/528,780	PLAMPER ET AL.
- -	Examiner	Art Unit
	Armando Rodriguez	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a nation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amounthe shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	s Brief must be filed within the pe	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	·	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,6-10 and 12</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,11</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. Other:		
	~	Don Wong Supervisor Art Unit 2828

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) en

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the newly recited limitations of "maintaining" and "substantially constant", which refer to the light power of the diode. Claim 2 has added the newly recited limitation of "once", which refers to determining the particular function. Claims 1 and 2 require a new search, since the newly recited limitation were not previously presented for examination. Applicant has added new claims 13 and 14, please see MPEP 714.13.